

	Pathogen Inactivation Dose Reference List¹ - 222nm, 254nm & Pulsed Xenon UV Light Sources							
	Pathogen	Reported Dose Required to Inactivate 99.9% of Tested Pathogen Strain Under Laboratory Conditions ¹ (D99.9, mJ/cm²) ^{2,3,4}			Medium Used for Testing ^{2,3,4}	Reference ¹	Tested Pathogen Strain	
		222nm⁵	254nm ⁶	Pulsed Xenon ⁷				
		3.6°	-	-	Dry surface in Petri dish	[1]8		
	CADC C-1/ 2		3.4	-	Dry surface in Petri dish	[2]	SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV/Japan/Al/I-004/2020) [1] [25]	
	SARS-CoV-2		4.0	-	Dry surface in Petri dish	[2]	SARS-CoV-2 (USA/WAI-2020) [2]	
				17.210	Dry surface in Petri dish	[25]		
	Human Coronavirus	1.2-1.7	-		Aerosol	[3]8	Alpha HCoV-229E and beta HCoV-OC43 [3]	
			-	19.410	Dry surface in Petri dish	[31]	Strain 229E, ATCC VR-740 [31]	
	Influenza A (H1N1)	<6.0	<6.0	-	Liquid in culture dish	[4]8	Influenza A (H1N1/pdm09 strain A/Michigan/45/2015) [4]	
		3.8	-	-	Aerosol	[5] ⁸		
			2.4-3.1	-	Aerosol	[6]	Influenza A (H1N1 /A/PR/8/34 H1N1) [5] [6] Influenza A (H1N1 /A/PR/8/34, ATCC 1469) [26]	
			-	27.5 ¹⁰	Dry surface in Petri dish	[26]		
	Feline calicivirus (FCV)	24	24	-	Liquid in culture dish	[4]8		
			43.0	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[7]		
			-	74.410	Dry surface in Petri dish	[27][28]	Feline calicivirus (F4) [4] Feline calicivirus (VR-782) [7] [27] [28] [38]	
		15.3 ¹¹	-	-	Wet surface on stainless steel disk	[38]		
	Escherichia coli bacteriophage MS2 (MS2)	22	58	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[8] ⁸		
		-	121	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[7]	E. coli bacteriophage MS2 (15597-B1) [8] E. coli bacteriophage MS2 (TIB-71) [7] E. coli bacteriophage MS2 (700891) [9]	
			44	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[9]		



Pathogen Inactivation Dose Reference List1 - 222nm, 254nm & Pulsed Xenon UV Light Sources Reported Dose Required to Inactivate 99.9% of Tested Pathogen Strain Under Laboratory Conditions¹ **Medium Used for** (D99.9, mJ/cm²)^{2,3,4} Pathogen Reference1 **Tested Pathogen Strain** Testing^{2,3,4} Pulsed 222nm5 254nm⁶ Xenon⁷ <6.0 <6.0 Liquid in Petri dish [4]8 14 7.3 Liquid in Petri dish [10] S. aureus (MRSA clinical isolates) [4] S. aureus (non-MRSA 25923) [10] 15 Liquid in Petri dish [11] Staphylococcus S. aureus (MRSA clinical isolates) [11] aureus Liquid (PBS or wastewater) S. aureus (MRSA BAA-1556) [12] 8.7 [12] (MRSA) in Petri dish S. aureus (MRSA ATCC 33592) [29] [30] 6.810 Dry surface in Petri dish [29][30] S. aureus (MRSA ATCC 33591) [34] Wet surface on stainless 24.211 [34] steel disk 12 8.5 Liquid in culture dish [4]8 S. enterica (serogroup S. typhimurium, clinical isolates) [4] 100-300 Dry surface on egg [13] S. enterica (serogroup S. enteritidis 1049-1-99 & 61-358-1) [13] S. enterica (serogroup S. typhimurium ATCC 19585, ATCC 3.8~5.9 Liquid in Petri dish [14] 43971, & ATCC DT104) [14] Salmonella enterica 9.010 Dry surface in Petri dish [29][30] (Salmonella) S. enterica (ATCC 10708) [29] S. enterica (ATCC 33592) [30] Wet surface on stainless 25.211 [35] S. enterica (ATCC 14028) (Typhi Salmonella) [35] steel disk S. enterica (ATCC 10708) (Non-Typhi Salmonella) [36] Wet surface on stainless 6.911 [36] steel disk 5.7 Liquid in Petri dish [15] M. tuberculosis (H37Rv) [15] Mycobacterium 2.3-5.5 Aerosol [16] M. tuberculosis (Erdman TMCC 107 & 199RB TMCC 109) [16] tuberculosis (TB) M. tuberculosis (Erdman TMCC 107) [17] 9.6 Agar surface [17] Listeria Listeria monocytogenes 2.4 3.36 Liquid in Petri dish [33] monocytogenes (ATCC 19111, ATCC 19115, & ATCC 15313 [33] (Listeria)



Pathogen Inactivation Dose Reference List¹ - 222nm, 254nm & Pulsed Xenon UV Light Sources Reported Dose Required to Inactivate 99.9% of Tested Pathogen Strain Under Laboratory Conditions¹ **Medium Used for** (D99.9, mJ/cm²)^{2,3,4} Pathogen Reference¹ **Tested Pathogen Strain** Testing^{2,3,4} Pulsed 222nm5 254nm⁶ Xenon⁷ Liquid in Petri dish [4]8 <6.0 <<6.0 P. aeruginosa (clinical isolates) [4] Liquid (PBS or wastewater) 3.8-4.3 [12] P. aeruginosa (PA01, 47085) [12] Pseudomonas in Petri dish aeruginosa (PAE) P. aeruginosa (10145) [18] 7.4 Liquid in Petri dish [18] P. aeruginosa (27853) [10] 5.9 2.3 Liquid in Petri dish [10] <<6 <<6 Liquid in Petri dish [4]8 C. jejuni (clinical isolate) [4] Campylobacter jejuni C. jejuni (biotype 1 strain 709/84) [19] 1.8 Liquid in Petri dish [19] 20<D99.9<30 >50 Liquid in Petri dish [4]8 Clostridium difficile C. difficile (clinical isolate & JCM1296) [4] (endospores) (C. diff) C. difficile (43593) [11] 68 Liquid in Petri dish [11] Bacillus subtilis 325 370 Liquid in Petri dish [10] B. subtilis (6051) [10] (vegetative cells) 325 370 Liquid in Petri dish [10] B. subtilis (6051) [10] Bacillus subtilis 44 Liquid in Petri dish [18] B. subtilis (6633) [18] (endospores) B. subtilis (6633) [21] 30 55 Liquid in Petri dish [21] Bacillus cereus 14 Liquid in Petri dish B. cereus (11778) [10] 8.5 [10] (vegetative cells) 36<D99.9<72 >96 Liquid in Petri dish [4]8 B. cereus (clinical isolate) [4] Bacillus cereus 179 Liquid in Petri dish [20] B. cereus (water isolates) [20] (endospores) B. cereus (11778) [10] 69 140 Liquid in Petri dish [10]



ia	Pathogen Inactivation Dose Reference List¹ - 222nm, 254nm & Pulsed Xenon UV Light Sources								
	Pathogen	Reported Dose Required to Inactivate 99.9% of Tested Pathogen Strain Under Laboratory Conditions¹ (D99.9, mJ/cm²) ^{2.3,4}			Medium Used for Testing ^{23,4}	Reference ⁱ	Tested Pathogen Strain		
		222nm⁵	254nm ⁶	Pulsed Xenon ⁷					
Bacteria	Escherichia coli (E. coli)	6-9	<<6	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[4]8			
Ш			6.5-7.4	-	Liquid (PBS or wastewater) in Petri dish	[12]	E. coli (enterohaemorrhagic, clinical isolate) [4]		
		-	19	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[20]	E. coli (SMS-3-5 BAA-1743) [12] E. coli (water isolates) [20]		
		1.5	-	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[14]	E. coli (O157:H7 35150, 43889, & ATCC 43890) [14]		
		3.3-9.7	-	-	Liquid in Petri dish	[22]	E. coli (K-12) [22] E. coli (ATCC 8739) [29] [37]		
			-	10.310	Dry surface in Petri dish	[29][30]	E. coli (ATCC 11229) [30]		
		18.]11	-	-	Wet surface on stainless steel disk	[37]			



Pathogen Inactivation Dose Reference List¹ - 222nm, 254nm & Pulsed Xenon UV Light Sources Reported Dose Required to Inactivate 99.9% of Tested Pathogen Strain Under Laboratory Conditions¹ Medium Used for (D99.9, mJ/cm²)^{2,3,4} Pathogen Reference¹ **Tested Pathogen Strain** Testing^{2,3,4} Pulsed 222nm⁵ 254nm⁶ Xenon⁷ 24<<D99.9<72 24<<D99.9<72 Liquid in Petri dish [4]8 C. albicans (NBRC1385) [4] Candida albicans C. albicans (CEC 749) [23] 19 Liquid in Petri dish [23] Penicillium expansum 42 49 Liquid in Petri dish [10] P. expansum (36200) [10] 929 Liquid in cellophane pouch [24] Aspergillus niger A. niger (FRR 5664) [24] Dry spores on membrane 729 [24] filter (spores) A. niger (32625) [10] 325 370 Liquid in Petri dish [10] Candia auris 174.310 Dry surface in Petri dish [32] C. auris (AR Bank #0381) [32]



Reference#	Publication Title	Link to Publication	Primary Author
[01]	Effectiveness of 222-nm ultraviolet light on disinfecting SARS-CoV-2 surface contamination	DOI: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.08.022	Kitagawa
[02]	Rapid and complete inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 by ultraviolet-C irradiation	DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-79600-8	Storm
[03]	Far-UVC light (222 nm) efficiently and safely inactivates airborne human coronaviruses	DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-67211-2	Buonanno
[04]	Ultraviolet-C light with wavelength of 222 nm inactivates a wide spectrum ofmicrobial pathogens	DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.03.030	Narita
[05]	Far-UVC light: A new tool to control the spread of airborne-mediated microbial diseases	DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-21058-w	Welch
[06]	Aerosol Susceptibility of Influenza Virus to UV-C Light	DOI:10.1128/AEM.06960-11	McDevitt
[07]	Inactivation of murine norovirus, feline calicivirus and echovirus 12 as surrogates for human norovirus (NoV) and coliphage (F+) MS2 by ultraviolet light (254 nm) and the effect of cell association on UV inactivation	DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-765x.2010.02982.x	Park
[08]	Synergy of MS2 disinfection by sequential exposure to tailored UV wavelengths	DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.017	Hull
[09]	Comparison of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes and low-pressure mercury-arc lamps for disinfection of water	DOI: 10.1080/09593330.2016.1144798	Sholtes
[10]	Higher effectiveness of photoinactivation of bacterial spores, UV resistant vegetative bacteria and mold spores with 222 nm compared to 254 nm wavelength	DOI: 10.1002/aheh.200600650	Clauß



Reference#	Publication Title	Link to Publication	Primary Author
[11]	Evaluation of a hand-held far-ultraviolet radiation device for decontamination of Clostridium difficile and other healthcare-associated pathogens	<u>DOI: 10.1186/1471-2334-12-120</u>	Nerandzic
[12]	Ultraviolet Disinfection of Antibiotic Resistant Bacteria and Their Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Water and Wastewater	DOI: 10.1021/es303652q	McKinney
[13]	Comparison of UV-C and Pulsed UV Light Treatments for Reduction of Salmonella, Listeria monocytogenes, and Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli on Eggs	DOI: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-17-128	Holck
[14]	Increased Resistance of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium and Escherichia coli O157:H7 to 222-Nanometer Krypton-Chlorine Excilamp Treatment by Acid Adaptation	DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02221-18	Kang
[15]	Ultraviolet light inactivation and photoreactivation in the mycobacteria	DOI: 10.1128/IAI.4.3.318-319.1971	David
[16]	Ultraviolet susceptibility of BCG and virulent tubercle bacilli	https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/817628/	Riley
[17]	Relative susceptibility of acid-fast and non-acid-fast bacteria to ultraviolet light	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC377194/	Collins
[18]	Inactivation kinetics and efficiencies of UV-LEDs against Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Legionella pneumophila, and surrogate microorganisms	DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.047	Rattanakul
[19]	Susceptibility of Campylobacter jejuni and Yersinia enterocolitica to UV radiation	DOI: 10.1128/AEM.53.2.375-378.198	Butler
[20]	Inactivation of chlorine-resistant bacterial spores in drinking water using UV irradiation, UV/Hydrogen peroxide and UV/Peroxymonosulfate: Efficiency and mechanism	DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118666	Zeng



Reference#	Publication Title	Link to Publication	Primary Author
[21]	Comparison of the Disinfection Effects of Vacuum UV (VUV) and UV Light on Bacillus subtilis Spores in Aqueous Suspensions at 172, 222 and 254nm	DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2009.00640.x	Wang
[22]	Simultaneous atrazine degradation and E. coli inactivation by UV/S2O82-/Fe2+ process under KrCl excilamp (222 nm) irradiation	DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.11.014	Popova
[23]	Ultraviolet-C Light for Treatment of Candida albicans Burn Infection in Mice	DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-1097.2011.00886.x	Dai
[24]	Inactivation of food spoilage fungi by ultra violet (UVC) irradiation	DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.11.020	Begum
[25]	Pulsed broad-spectrum UV light effectively inactivates SARS-CoV-2 on multiple surfaces	DOI: 10.1101/2021.02.12.431032	Jureka
[26]	NG15861 August 2020, "Virucidal Efficacy of a Test Device For Use on Inanimate, Nonporous Surfaces"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX
[27]	NG9047-A3 July 2017, "Determination of the Antiviral Effectiveness of Test Device Against Feline Calicivirus"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX
[28]	NG9046 July 2017, "Determination of the Antiviral Effectiveness of Test Device Against Feline Calicivirus"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX



Reference#	Publication Title	Link to Publication	Primary Author
[29]	NG9204-A1 August 2017, "Antibacterial Activity and Sanitizing Efficacy using Violet Defense® technology"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX
[30]	NG15214 Tested May 2020, "Antibacterial Activity and Sanitizing Efficacy using Violet Defense® technology"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX
[31]	NG15862 Tested August 2020, "Virucidal Efficacy of a Test Device For Use on Inanimate, Nonporous Surfaces"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX
[32]	NG13050 June 2019, "Antibacterial Activity and Sanitizing Efficacy of Violet Defense's Device"	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Microchem Laboratory, Round Rock, TX
[33]	Inactivation dynamics of 222 nm krypton-chlorine excilamp irradiation on Gram- positive and Gram-negative foodborne pathogenic bacteria	DOI: 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.04.018	Kang
[34]	Confidential Test Report No. AC-12b, 16 July 2021, Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus – MRSA (ATCC 33591)	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Resinnova Laboratories, Silver Spring, MD
[35]	Confidential Test Report No. AC-13b, 26 July 2021, Salmonella enterica (formerly typhimurium) (ATCC 14028) (Typhi Salmonella)	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Resinnova Laboratories, Silver Spring, MD



Reference#	Publication Title	Link to Publication	Primary Author
[36]	Confidential Test Report No. AC-14b, 09 August 2021, Salmonella enterica (formerly choleraesuis) (ATCC 10708) (Non-Typhi Salmonella)	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Resinnova Laboratories, Silver Spring, MD
[37]	Confidential Test Report No. AC-15b, 10 August 2021, Escherichia coli (ATCC 8739)	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Resinnova Laboratories, Silver Spring, MD
[38]	Confidential Test Report No. AC-16a, 17 August 2021, Feline Calicivirus (ATCC VR-782)	Contact PURO Lighting	Independent accredited third-party testing lab: Resinnova Laboratories, Silver Spring, MD



NOTES:

- 1: The data presented in this reference list are obtained from peer-reviewed research publications or independent laboratory testing reports. Refer to identified reference for experimental setup and design. Experimental setups and design that differ from those used in the referenced research or laboratory testing may produce different dose values than listed here to inactivate 99.9% of any given pathogen.
- 2: The dose required to achieve a 99.9% inactivation (also known as a 3-Log10 reduction) of pathogens can be referred to as a D99.9 value. D99.9 values reported in this reference list are as tested under laboratory conditions and are either obtained directly from the reference or interpolated or extrapolated using data from the reference. Note that the D99.9 values in actual application may differ from the reported values as a result of differences between the application and the experimental setup or other environmental conditions. The D99.9 values reported in this reference list are provided for informational purposes only.
- 3: D99.9 values (the dose required to achieve a 99.9% inactivation) can be used to determine a k-factor, also known as the UV rate constant. The k-factor/UV rate constant serves to scale the natural logarithmic function that depicts pathogen survival as a function of dose, enabling modeling of predicted levels of inactivation of a particular pathogen. Note that both k and D99.9 are specific to a given pathogen, UV spectrum, and environmental condition (water, surface, air) as represented by the medium used for testing. Kowalski, W. (2009), <u>Ultraviolet Germicidal Radiation Handbook</u>, <u>Chapter 3, Springer-Verlag</u>.
- 4: For applications where inactivation of pathogens in the air is desired, airborne UV rate constants should be used to model predicted pathogen inactivation, if they exist. Use of airborne UV rate constants will result in the most accurate modeling. Where no airborne UV rate constants are available, however, either water or surface UV rate constants may be utilized as a conservative substitute. The susceptibility of microbes is greater in air than when suspended in liquid, films or on the surface of agar plates. While exact ratios can vary considerably, bacteria are roughly five times more susceptible to germicidal irradiation in air than on surfaces, whereas viruses tend to be closer to three times more susceptible. In virtually all cases, however, with only one or two anomalous exceptions, UV rate constants for water and surface are lower than those for air, even at 100% relative humidity. Consequently, modeling that uses water or surface UV rate constants as substitutes for airborne UV rate constants is appropriate because it can be expected to underestimate the predicted level of pathogen inactivation. Furthermore, UV susceptibility of microbes on surfaces may be higher or lower than it is in water, for any given species. However, these differences are small enough to validate the use of water UV rate constants to predict surface disinfection rates. That is, water UV rate constants are a reasonable substitute for surface UV rate constants when surface UV rate constants are not available. Kowalski, W. (2009), <u>Ultraviolet Germicidal Handbook</u>, Chapter 4. Springer-Verlag.